

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 1, 11, 14, 17, 22, 29, 30, and 31 are amended and claims 1-31 are pending and under consideration.

Rejection of Claims 17-21 and 29-30 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

The Office Action rejects claims 17-21 and 29-30 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Dickie. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In item 13 on page 8, the Office Action notes, "Dickie does not expressly disclose[s] about a power saving mode when not displaying the data of the main system on the display part of the PDA, the portable computer stops the electric power to the PDA."

Dickie does not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, "wherein when not displaying the data of the main system on the display part, the main system supplies a signal to the switching part to cut-off the electric power to the auxiliary system through the mounting part or the main system supplies the signal to the auxiliary system through the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts to cut-off electric power," as recited in claims 17 and 30. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 17 and 30 are patentably distinguishable from Dickie.

Claims 18-21 depend from claim 17 and include all of the features of claim 17. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 18-21 are also patentably distinguishable from Dickie.

Similarly, Dickie does not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, "wherein when not displaying the data of the main system on the display part, the main system supplies a signal to the auxiliary system through the mounting part or the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts to cut-off electric power," as recited in claim 29. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 29 is patentably distinguishable from the Dickie.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejection of Claims 1-16, 22-28, and 31 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Office Action rejects claims 1-16, 22-28, and 31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickie in view of U.S. Patent 5,471,621 issued to Ohtsuki. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Dickie and Ohtsuki, taken separately or in combination, do not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, "when not displaying the data of the main system on the display part, the main system supplies a signal to the switching part to cut-off the electric power to the auxiliary system

through the mounting part or the main system supplies the signal to the auxiliary system through the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts to cut-off electric power,” as recited in claim 1.

As discussed above, in item 13 on page 8, the Office Action notes, “Dickie does not expressly disclose[s] about a power saving mode when not displaying the data of the main system on the display part of the PDA, the portable computer stops the electric power to the PDA.”

However, in item 13 on page 8, the Office Action asserts that col. 1, lines 8-10 and 62-66 of Ohtsuki discloses “the power supply for the individual input/output units in a portable computer is selectively turned on and off..., so that the power consumption of the entire system can be reduced...” Although Ohtsuki discloses a portable personal computer, Ohtsuki does not disclose, teach, or suggest the use of a portable personal computer with an auxiliary system, which is capable of communicating wirelessly with a main system.

Accordingly, Ohtsuki does not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “when not displaying the data of the main system on the display part, ... the main system supplies the signal to the auxiliary system through the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts to cut-off electric power,” as recited in claim 1.

Moreover, because Dickie does not even recognize the need for saving power and Ohtsuki does not teach or suggest a system capable of wireless communication, one having ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to combine these two references. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 1 is patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

In addition, Dickie does not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “when displaying the data of the main system on the display part of the auxiliary system, the main system transmits the data stored in the data storing part to the auxiliary system to process and to display the data of the main system on the display part,” as recited in claim 1.

Col. 3, lines 17-20 of Dickie discloses, “The PDA 102 and portable computer 104 can exchange data to synchronize various information, such as appointments, email, contacts, and so on.” However, Dickie does not disclose processing and displaying the data of the portable computer 104 using the PDA 102. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 1 is patentably distinguishable from Dickie.

In addition, Dickie does not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “a first wireless

sending/receiving part,” “a second wireless sending/receiving part,” and “a mounting part” as separate elements. In contrast, Figure 4 of Dickie only discloses interface 404 and interface 414. In col. 4, lines 4-7, Dickie discloses, “When the PDA 102 is docked in the palm-rest docking cradle 120, the PDA communicates with the portable computer via a communication path 420 between the two interfaces 404 and 414.” Accordingly, Dickie does not disclose or suggest that data can be exchanged wirelessly. More specifically, Dickie does not disclose, teach or suggest, “data of the main system is supplied to the auxiliary system wirelessly, through the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts,” as recited in claim 1. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 1 is patentably distinguishable over Dickie.

Moreover, Ohtsuki does not cure any of the above deficiencies of Dickie.

Claims 2-16 depend from claim 1 and include all of the features of claim 1. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 2-16 are also patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

Similarly, Dickie and Ohtsuki, taken separately or in combination, do not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “wherein when not displaying the data of the main system on the display part, the main system supplies a signal to the switching part to cut-off the electric power to the auxiliary system through the mounting part or the main system supplies the signal to the auxiliary system through the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts to cut-off electric power,” as recited in claims 17 and 30. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 17 and 30 are patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

Claims 18-21 depend from claim 17 and include all of the features of claim 17. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 18-21 are also patentably distinguishable from Dickie.

Similarly, Dickie and Ohtsuki, taken separately or in combination, do not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “cutting-off the electric power to the auxiliary system through...the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts when the user selects not to display the data of the main system on the display part,” as recited in claim 22. Therefore, claim 22 is patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

Claims 23-28 depend from claim 22 and include all of the features of claim 22. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claims 23-28 are also patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

Similarly, Dickie and Ohtsuki, taken separately or in combination, do not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “wherein when not displaying the data of the main system on the display

part, the main system supplies a signal to the auxiliary system through the mounting part or the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts to cut-off electric power,” as recited in claim 29. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 29 is patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

Similarly, Dickie and Ohtsuki, taken separately or in combination, do not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “cutting-off the electric power to the auxiliary system through... the first and second wireless sending/receiving parts when the user selects not to display the data of the main system on the display part,” as recited in claim 31. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 31 is patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

Moreover, Dickie and Ohtsuki, taken separately or in combination, do not disclose, teach, or suggest at least, “wherein the auxiliary system provides wireless access to the main system to web-servers and the auxiliary system serves as a web-browser for the main system,” as recited in claim 11. Dickie and Ohtsuki do not disclose, teach, or suggest the auxiliary system serving as a web-browser for the main system. Therefore, for at least these reasons, claim 11 is patentably distinguishable from the cited references.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Summary

Claims 1-31 are pending and under consideration. It is respectfully submitted that none of the references taken alone or in combination disclose the present claimed invention.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

Serial No. 10/820,813

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: November 7, 2006

By: Paul F. Daebeler
Paul F. Daebeler
Registration No. 35,852

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501